

REMARKS

Claims 6-9 have been amended. Claims 1-9 and 14-18 remain in the application. Applicant reserves the right to pursue the original claims and other claims in this and other applications.

Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. Reconsideration is respectfully requested. The claims have been amended as suggested by the Office Action. The claims as amended are believed to be in proper form.

Claims 1-9 and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated by Machida. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Claim 1 recites the step of “acquiring a template of a scenario which is a file describing procedures for installing . . . software.” This is an important aspect of the claimed invention. The Office Action, page 2, contends that the “scenario” of claim 1 is met by an updated Machida driver. The updated Machida driver, however, is not a file describing “procedures for installing . . . software,” and the Office Action provides no explanation to the contrary. Therefore, the rejection of claim 1 should be withdrawn.

Claims 2-4 depend from claim 1 and should be allowable along with claim 1, and for other reasons.

Claims 5-9 and 14-18 recite limitations similar to those discussed above, and should be allowable at least for those and other reasons.

Application No. 10/602,889
Amendment dated October 30, 2007
After Final Office Action of September 7, 2007

Docket No.: R2184.0239/P239

Accordingly, allowance of the application with claims 1-9 and 14-18, as amended, is solicited.

Dated: October 30, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Mark J. Thronson

Registration No.: 33,082
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
1825 Eye Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006-5403
(202) 420-4742
Attorneys for Applicant